EXHIBIT Q

```
1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                     DISTRICT OF NEVADA
 3
    ALLEN M. MILLER,
 4
           Plaintiff,
 5
                                  3:17-CV-00408-MMD-WCG
        vs.
    C.H. ROBINSON WORLDWIDE,
 6
    INC., RONEL R. SINGH,
    RHEAS TRANS, INC., and
 7
    KUWAR SINGH, d/b/a RT
    SERVICE,
 8
 9
           Defendants.
10
11
               DEPOSITION OF STEVEN A. BELYUS
12
13
                          October 3, 2018 at 9:02 a.m.
         DATE:
14
                          Leizerman & Associates
         PLACE:
                          3450 W. Central Avenue
                          Suite 328
15
                          Toledo, Ohio 43606
16
                          Maureen Powers, RPR
          REPORTER:
17
                          Notary Public
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

correct?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- A. That's correct. I don't know that they
 will allow me to.
 - Q. Your sole basis for your statement is from your experience as a highway patrol officer inspecting motor carriers and your work as your first entity, I'm sorry, is --
 - A. Traffic crash reconstruction, or BEL.
 - Q. BEL, thank you. And Stars Consulting, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. The next statement says that Mr., the truck that Mr. Singh crashed was in OOS condition with two of the 10 brakes out of adjustment. You're getting that statement from the police report, correct, or the post-accident inspection?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Again, you're not opining, you have not offered an opinion concerning the cause of the accident, correct?
 - A. I did not in the report, but I do have an opinion, that fatigue caused or contributed to the accident on the part of Mr. Singh.
 - Q. You have not offered an opinion

multiple losses of authority, even though it's 1 through insurance. If I were in his position, I 2 3 would fear that I would lose business because of 4 these issues. But there is no indication in the record 5 Ο. that he was losing business because of these issues. 6 7 In fact, I think he testified he was operating for a number of brokers at the time, correct? 8 9 If he was operating in a financially Α. 10 fiscal method, then he shouldn't have been, he 11 should have been able to afford insurance. 12 Well --Q. Not have his authority continually 13 Α. 14 revoked. He was able to afford insurance under RT 15 O. 16 Service, correct? 17 Yes. With a clean slate after he Α. 18 switched. 19 Okay. He was able to pay for insurance Q. 20 when he started RT Service, yes? 2.1 Α. Yes. 22 Your next paragraph, "His complete 23 disregard for hours of safety rules may have caused 24 fatigue which contributed to this crash". This is

one of the only areas you talk about fatigue, as far as I recall, that's in your summary statement.

A. Yes, sir.

2.1

- Q. Is there another area in your report where you discuss fatigue of the driver?
- A. Fatigue is the sole reason for hours of service regulations, and innumerable scientific studies have been conducted on hours of service to regulate drivers against fatigue.

So the whole pre-'95 section is about driver fatigue. His failure to be in compliance with even telling the truth about what he was doing on his logbooks gives rise to the strong suspicion that he was working too much, too long, and drove into the median due to driver fatigue.

- Q. One of your statements in your report is there's an inconsistency in statements concerning what Mr. Singh told the trooper at the time of the accident and what the logbook shows, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you previously said that Mr. Singh's testimony about the timing of when the accident occurred after he was struck by Mr. Miller could have been as a result of a state of shock or